

1. Show that the Bloch function  $\psi(r) = u(r) \cdot \exp(ikr)$  leads to a periodic electron density, so long as  $u(r)$  is periodic.
2. Consider a one-dimensional solid comprised of A with a uniform interatomic spacing of  $a$ .
  - (a) Use the LCAO method to show that energy has a cosine dependence on  $k$ . Start with the total wave function defined as a linear combination of atomic orbitals. Assume second nearest neighbor and higher interactions are negligible. You need not worry about proper normalization.
  - (b) Plot  $E(k)$  to the edge of the first Brillouin zone, for both s and  $p_z$  orbitals treated in this way.
3. For the 1s orbitals of the atoms of problem 2, draw out  $\psi_k(r)$  for  $k = 0, \frac{1}{4}(2\pi/a), \frac{1}{2}(2\pi/a), \frac{3}{4}(2\pi/a), 1(2\pi/a)$  and  $2(2\pi/a)$ . Superimpose  $\cos(kr_n)$  [the real part of  $\exp(ikr_n)$ ] over the plot of  $\psi_k(r)$  and clearly indicate the wave length. In addition, carefully compare the plots for  $k = 0$  and  $k = 2(2\pi/a)$ .
4. Consider a one-dimensional solid comprised of A and B atoms, where the atoms alternate and are equally spaced. The lattice constant (distance between A atoms) is  $a$  (so the distance between A and B atoms is  $\frac{1}{2}a$ ). Let B be somewhat more electronegative than A, and let the relevant local atomic orbitals on the A and B atoms be the s and  $p_z$  orbitals, respectively. The total wave function for this situation is a linear combination of the Bloch sum for the  $\chi^A$  and the Bloch sum for the  $\chi^B$ :

$$\psi_k^1(r) = a_k \sum_{n=1}^N e^{ikr_n} \chi_n^A(r) + b_k \sum_{n=1}^N e^{ikr_n} \chi_n^B(r)$$

$$\psi_k^2(r) = b_k \sum_{n=1}^N e^{ikr_n} \chi_n^A(r) - a_k \sum_{n=1}^N e^{ikr_n} \chi_n^B(r)$$

where  $r_n$  describes the location of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  A atom for  $\chi^A$  and of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  B atom for  $\chi^B$ , and  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  describe the bond mixing between A and B orbitals.

- (a) Plot schematically the orbitals and their signs for  $k = 0$ . Rationalize why  $a_0 = 0$  and  $b_0 = 1$  (for  $k = 0$ ). What are the relative energies of the two branches of the wave function? These two wave functions can be considered non-bonding LCAOs. Explain
- (b) Plot schematically the orbitals and their signs for  $k = \pi/a$ . What are the relative energies of the two branches of the wave function? One wave function can be considered bonding and the other antibonding. Explain.
- (c) Plot schematically the band diagram, that is, energy as a function of  $k$ , for the two branches of the wave function.